

**CITY OF RANCHO MIRAGE
69825 HIGHWAY 111
RANCHO MIRAGE, CA 92270
(760) 770-3224**

**ADDENDUM NO. 1 TO
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
FOR
CONSULTANT DESIGN SERVICES
NORTH AREA ZONE 3 DRAINAGE -
BOB HOPE DRIVE/COUNTRY CLUB DRIVE STORM DRAINS
(City Project CP 14-309)**

**ISSUED:
FEBRUARY 5, 2016**

PURPOSE:

This Addendum (“Addendum”) is being issued to provide answers to questions about the City of Rancho Mirage Request for Proposals for Consultant Design Services for North Area Zone 3 Drainage – Bob Hope Drive/Country Club Drive Storm Drains (City Project CP 14-309), dated January 15, 2016 (“RFP”), which questions prospective bidders submitted requesting further details regarding the RFP. Included below are said questions and answers thereto, all of which shall be made part of the RFP by inclusion into this Addendum.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:

This Addendum constitutes an integral part of the RFP and shall be read in conjunction with the RFP. Where inconsistent with the RFP, this Addendum shall govern. It is the responsibility of all respondents to the RFP to conform to this Addendum. Unless specifically changed herein, all other requirements, terms and conditions of the RFP remain unchanged. All respondents must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum by signing and submitting the form that is attached to this Addendum as Exhibit “A.”

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Question 1: Would the City accept a signature from our insurance broker, rather than our insurer?

Answer 1: No. However, signature may be provided by either the insurer or the agent of the insurer.

Question 2: Regarding the insurance form requirement (page 8, section G). Our insurance company verified that our policies meet the insurance requirements, but they are unwilling to sign the form included in Appendix C of the RFP. They can provide certificates of insurance and endorsements as evidence of coverage. Would a certificate of insurance be acceptable in place of this form?

Answer 2: Yes, but only if all required certificates of insurance and endorsements are provided as part of the proposal package.

Question 3: The RFP under Base Map - Data Collection (page 2, section B) asks for utility invert elevations; are you asking that potholing be performed initially for all utilities regardless of the proposed storm drain alignment?

Answer 3: Base mapping should show invert elevations and utility locations per as-built drawings provided by the utilities. After preliminary route study is approved, potholing of all potential conflicts shall be performed along route selected.

Question 4: RFP says “pothole as necessary.” How many potholes should we anticipate?

Answer 4: Unknown. For budget purposes, assume 15 potholes (no less than 10) with a per pothole add/delete price.

Question 5: For potholing purposes, using a vacuum type excavation method, what is the approved method of asphalt repair (cold vs hot mix) assuming the pothole is on the anticipated alignment?

Answer 5: Permanent asphalt repair is necessary. Contractor shall use 1-1/2 sack slurry to backfill hole.

Question 6: Since this is a City project, will the City waive the cost of the potholing permit?

Answer 6: Fee will not be waived, but can be charged on the contract as a reimbursable expense.

Question 7: If the potholing is pre-construction (i.e. for design purposes) will it fall under the prevailing wage requirement?

Answer 7: No DIR classification, therefore, no prevailing wage.

Question 8: The street section in the Fomotor Report shows a drainage easement outside the existing right-of-way. Is it anticipated that drainage easements will need to be acquired by the City? And if so, is there an approximate number of parcels involved?

Answer 8: Obtaining drainage easements outside the existing right of way as shown on the street section in the Fomotor Report is not in the scope of work for this project.

Question 9: Are we responsible for obtaining preliminary title reports along with services of a right-of-way acquisition and appraisal company?

Answer 9: No. City will handle this.

Question 10: How many legal documents should we anticipate?

Answer 10: Unknown scope. DELETE SECTION 8. “CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EASEMENT PREPARATION”, SHOWN ON PAGES 3 AND 4, FROM THE RFP.

Question 11: Does the City have “current” topographic information (beyond 80’ from C/L) for preparation of the Hydrology Study of this area?

Answer 11: No.

Question 12: Does the City have a preference for which option from the Fomotor Report they would like to pursue in our update of this study?

Answer 12: Pursue Alternative 1, which is the new storm drain system. Alternative 2 was determined to not be feasible.

Question 13: Section 6 says the Specifications will be delivered to the consultant, will they be coming from the City?

Answer 13: City will deliver the front end “boiler plate” portion of the standard City specifications for the Consultant’s use to prepare the complete set of bid specifications.

Question 14: The original plan includes retention basins, will Phase 1 analysis of these basins be included?

Answer 14: Pursue Alternative 1, which is the new storm drain system. Alternative 2 was determined to not be feasible.

Question 15: Drywells were called out for nuisance flows into the Whitewater River, will project BMPs need to be sized to address first flush flows?

Answer 15: Yes

Question 16: Does the project include consultation with USACE, CalDFW, RWQCB for the Whitewater River outlet?

Answer 16: No. However, CVWD will review and comment on the improvements within their Stormwater Channel right-of-way.

EXHIBIT "A"
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FORM
ADDENDUM NO. 1

By signing this form, I hereby acknowledge receipt of Addendum No. 1 dated February 5, 2016 ("Addendum") to the City of Rancho Mirage Request for Proposals for Consultant Design Services for North Area Zone 3 Drainage – Bob Hope Drive/Country Club Drive Storm Drains (City Project CP 14-309), dated January 15, 2016 ("RFP"). I agree to include this signed instrument as part of any proposal package submitted in response to the RFP and Addendum.

By:

Title:

Date: _____, 2016